

INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATASHEET APPRAISAL STAGE

I. Basic Information

Date prepared/updated: 02/14/2013

Report No.: AC6874

1. Basic Project Data

| | |
|--|---|
| Original Project ID: P117949 | Original Project Name: RY:Social Fund for Development IV |
| Country: Yemen, Republic of | Project ID: P133699 |
| Project Name: Additional Financing for Social Fund for Development IV | |
| Task Team Leader: Mira Hong | |
| Estimated Appraisal Date: February 15, 2013 | Estimated Board Date: April 2, 2013 |
| Managing Unit: MNSSP | Lending Instrument: Specific Investment Loan |
| Sector: Other social services (100%) | |
| Theme: Social safety nets (50%);Global food crisis response (10%);Nutrition and food security (30%);Social Inclusion (10%) | |
| IBRD Amount (US\$m.): | 0 |
| IDA Amount (US\$m.): | 25 |
| GEF Amount (US\$m.): | 0 |
| PCF Amount (US\$m.): | 0 |
| Other financing amounts by source: | |
| BORROWER/RECIPIENT | 0.00 |
| Financing Gap | 0.00 |
| | 0.00 |
| Environmental Category: B - Partial Assessment | |
| Simplified Processing | Simple <input type="checkbox"/> Repeater <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies) | |
| Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

2. Project Objectives

The Project Development Objectives are to: (i) improve access to basic services; (ii) enhance economic opportunities; and (iii) reduce the vulnerability of the poor.

3. Project Description

The proposed Additional Financing (AF) fully supports the ongoing Social Fund for Development (SFD) IV Project's original development objectives which are to: (i) improve access to basic services; (ii) enhance economic opportunities; and (iii) reduce the vulnerability of the poor. These objectives will therefore remain the same for the AF. The AF will scale up Component 4 of the SFD IV Project on Labor Intensive Works (LIW) and introduce the following two new subcomponents: (i) support LIW targeted to unemployed youth and (ii) introduce cash for work opportunities for youth and women in the delivery of services, while targeting the works and services to poor and vulnerable communities. The existing LIW component is being implemented successfully and will

continue with its original allocation of US\$25 million to finance labor-intensive community infrastructure subprojects, providing cash for work to participating members of poor households. The additional subcomponents are detailed below:

1) LIW for Youth (US\$4 million): The objective of this subcomponent is to reduce the vulnerability of unemployed youth through youth-targeted labor-intensive works.

The sub-component will finance labor-intensive sub-projects that provide income opportunities and work experience to participating youth in subprojects to improve community infrastructure and environment.

The program will target unemployed youth in the 18-30 age bracket in urban and semi-urban areas, with wages set low to ensure that the program attracts unemployed youths from poor households. About 5,000 youth are expected to benefit from employment lasting approximately 50 to 60 days with average remuneration of about \$400 to \$500.

Subprojects will be selected on the basis of high labor-intensity and potential impact. In addition to the LIW Program's regular subprojects menu, projects more suitable for youth and for urban and semi-urban areas will be added, such as planting trees, cobble-stone street paving, cleaning shorelines, and especially rebuilding the damaged public spaces.

2) Cash for Work for Social Services (US\$21 million): The objectives of this subcomponent are (i) to reduce the vulnerability of unemployed youth and women through providing employment opportunities in social service delivery and (ii) to improve access to basic social services in poor communities. In close coordination with relevant national programs, this sub-component will focus on the demand side in financing social services at the community level and improving access of the poor and vulnerable to these services. Three categories of services are proposed:

(i) Education, literacy and numeracy

Job opportunities, through nine-month contracts, will be provided for approximately 3,590 male/female youth who have recently graduated from university, high school, or teacher training institutes, with priority given to those with training or experience in education and to residents in the project location. Successful applicants will receive initial training and regular supervision in providing the following services: teachers to fill teacher gaps in regular schools (pupils 6-14 years old); non-formal accelerated programs for children who have not enrolled or have dropped out of school to help them re-integrate into the formal system; adult literacy classes including life skills.

Beneficiary communities will be 30 percent in urban and 70 percent in rural areas. Selection criteria will be based on evidence of need: poverty index; population density; enrollment gaps; illiteracy rate; and low university or secondary education outcomes in the region. The program expects to provide education and literacy benefits for approximately 36,000 males and females in targeted communities and to stimulate the demand for education among households that have not traditionally considered education important.

(ii) Nutrition

An estimated 1,600 Community Health Volunteers (CHVs) will be trained and contracted and will receive monthly incentives. Training to be qualified to carry out defined activities will follow MOPHP's training modules. CHVs will provide a package of community-based nutrition services to children under 5 (U5) and pregnant and lactating women, including: (a) enrolment and initial screening for acute malnutrition; (b) quarterly or bi-annual malnutrition screening in case MOPHP quarterly outreach services are not available; (c) provision of transport to facilities for treatment; (d) monthly nutrition education to mothers of U5 children; (e) nutrition education for pregnant women; (f) breastfeeding promotion; and (g) community level nutrition and health education. The supply side of services is being supported through the Bank's Health and Population Project which is under implementation (nutrition kits), along with MOPHP and development partners (equipping of the health facilities and training of CHVs).

Geographic and demographic targeting is focused on Hodeida Governorate, which has been selected based on its particularly high malnutrition rate and its readiness to provide nutrition interventions from the public health facilities. The program expects to benefit approximately 15 percent of the estimated 493,644 children in the governorate. The selection of districts and beneficiary communities will also be based on malnutrition rates and readiness to participate.

(iii) Social research

Cash-for-work opportunities will be provided to approximately 400 young men and women university graduates who will be given an introductory training and guided to participate in data collection and other assessment and monitoring and evaluation activities of the SFD programs. Through working directly with communities and experienced SFD staff and consultants, participants will learn skills needed to work in the development field as surveyor, researcher, enumerator or field assistant.

4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis

This is a national program. Beneficiary communities will be approximately 30 percent in urban and 70 percent in rural areas. Selection criteria will be based on evidence of need: poverty index; malnutrition rates; population density; and enrollment gaps and illiteracy rates.

Subprojects with high labor-intensity and potential impact will be selected. In addition to the LIW Program's regular menu of subprojects (including irrigation, water harvesting schemes, agricultural terraces rehabilitation, agricultural land improvement, maintenance and improvement of village access earth roads, the improvement of drinking water sources, and watershed management), subprojects more suitable for youth and urban and

semi-urban areas will be added, such as planting trees, cobblestone street paving, cleaning shorelines, and especially rebuilding the damaged public spaces.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Ms Banu Setlur (MNSEN)

Ms MargeT F. Gunness Davis (MNSHD)

| 6. Safeguard Policies Triggered | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01) | X | |
| Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04) | | X |
| Forests (OP/BP 4.36) | | X |
| Pest Management (OP 4.09) | | X |
| Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11) | | X |
| Indigenous Peoples (OP/BP 4.10) | | X |
| Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12) | | X |
| Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37) | | X |
| Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP 7.50) | | X |
| Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP 7.60) | | X |

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts: The project will remain classified as environment category B according to the World Bank's Operation Policy on Environmental Assessment (OP 4.01). The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) dated February 2010 that was updated by SFD during the preparation of SFD IV is still applicable to the AF. Any potential negative environmental impacts will be site-specific and reversible, that can be mitigated with the implementation of the EMP. Furthermore, SFD periodically updates the EMP as needed to reflect additions and/or changes in its overall program design with IDA and other donors. Since no new type of works will be introduced as part of this AF, the current EMP is still applicable to the AF. No other safeguard policies are envisaged to be triggered by the project. Activities under the AF will not result in any large scale, significant or irreversible negative impacts. This is also consistent with the ongoing SFD IV Project.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

No long-term or indirect negative impacts are expected as a result of project activities.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

N/A.

4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. No additional safeguard policies are triggered in the AF that was not triggered in SFD IV. SFD had updated the EMP for SFD IV dated February 2010 that will still be applicable to the AF. SFD has successfully implemented previous projects and has proven capacity to implement and comply with the EMP and Bank's safeguard policies. Furthermore, SFD will continue to prepare annual environmental audits of its program, including for subprojects funded by IDA.

5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people. Beneficiary communities are in both urban and rural areas across the country. By nature of the project design, consultations with stakeholders and community members will continue to be undertaken before implementation of subprojects as part of subproject cycle. Subprojects will be selected on a demand basis. The activities added under the AF are scaling up of the LIW of the ongoing SFD IV project.

B. Disclosure Requirements Date

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal? | Yes |
| Date of receipt by the Bank | 01/26/2010 |
| Date of "in-country" disclosure | 01/27/2010 |
| Date of submission to InfoShop | 02/02/2010 |
| For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors | |

Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:

| |
|---|
| Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal? |
| Date of receipt by the Bank |
| Date of "in-country" disclosure |
| Date of submission to InfoShop |

Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework:

| |
|---|
| Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal? |
| Date of receipt by the Bank |
| Date of "in-country" disclosure |
| Date of submission to InfoShop |

Pest Management Plan:

| |
|---|
| Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal? |
| Date of receipt by the Bank |
| Date of "in-country" disclosure |
| Date of submission to InfoShop |

*** If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.**

If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (to be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment

| | |
|---|-----|
| Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? | Yes |
| If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM) review and approve the EA report? | Yes |
| Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan? | Yes |

The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information

| | |
|--|-----|
| Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop? | Yes |
| Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs? | Yes |

All Safeguard Policies

| | |
|---|-----|
| Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies? | Yes |
| Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost? | Yes |
| Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies? | Yes |
| Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents? | Yes |

D. Approvals

| Signed and submitted by: | Name | Date |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Task Team Leader: | Ms Mira Hong | 02/12/2013 |
| Environmental Specialist: | Ms Banu Setlur | 02/11/2013 |
| Social Development Specialist | Ms MargeT F. Gunness Davis | 02/11/2013 |
| Additional Environmental and/or Social Development Specialist(s): | | |
| Approved by: | | |
| Regional Safeguards Coordinator: | Mr Maged Mahmoud Hamed | 02/13/2013 |
| Comments: | | |
| Sector Manager: | Mr Yasser Aabdel-Aleem Awny El-Gammal | 02/13/2013 |
| Comments: | | |